

## **Chapter #11: Alaskan's Demand Concerning the Re-Write of Alaska's Grand Jury Rule!**

by David Haeg 3/4/26

On February 18, 2026, the Supreme Court asked for comments on their latest Grand Jury rule re-write (due by April 6, 2026 – see [courts.alaska.gov](https://courts.alaska.gov)). This scandal, implicating former AG Treg Taylor in the cover up (Chapters #3 & 7), started in 1989 when the Supreme Court, by the razor-thin majority of 3 Justices for and 2 against, passed Rule 6.1 to suspend the power of Grand Juries to investigate and address government corruption. The two Justices opposing (Edmond Burke and Allen Compton) stated Rule 6.1 was blatantly unconstitutional and in fact **“MOCKS”** Alaska's Constitution.

Here are Justice Burke and Justice Compton's words, exactly:

***“Webster's Third New International Dictionary's first definition of “never” is “not ever: not at anytime; at no time.” Its first definition of suspend is “to debar or cause to withdraw temporarily from any privilege, office, or function.” Criminal Rule 6.1, adopted by this court pursuant to its rulemaking authority, not only suspends the power of Grand Juries to investigate and make recommendations concerning the public welfare or safety, but also permits censorship of a Grand Jury report generated as result of the exercise of that power before the report is even published.***

***This rule is not the least bit deferential to the “anti-suspension” clause. Indeed, it MOCKS it.***

***The Grand Jury, and not the courts, can choose matters on which it reports and recommends, and the manner in which to do so. Criminal Rule 6.1 violates the “anti-suspension” clause of Article 1, Section 8 of the Alaska Constitution.”***

In case you new Supreme Court Justices (Justice Burke and Justice Compton are now deceased) have forgotten what Article 1, Section 8 of the Alaska Constitution states, here it is:

***“The power of Grand Juries to investigate and make recommendations concerning the public welfare or safety shall never be suspended.”***

In 2022 you new Justices, bypassing the required oversight of your own 13-member Rules Committee, rushed to modify Rule 6.1 with SCO 1993 to make it far, far worse than anything Justice Burke and Justice Compton could ever imagine (Chapters #2, 7, and 10):

You eliminated four additional rights: (1) the right of individual citizens to appeal to the Grand Jury **“directly”**; (2) the right of Grand Juries to investigate court cases, either open or closed; (3) the right of Grand Juries to subpoena government officials without approval by those same government officials; and (4) the right of Grand Juries to indict.

Why did you rush this through? A Kenai investigative Grand Jury – maybe the only investigative Grand Jury since Rule 6.1 crippled them decades ago - had just subpoenaed Marla Greenstein (Alaska's only judge investigator since 1989 – over 8,800 judge investigations so far) to explain evidence indicating she was falsifying official investigations to keep corrupt judges on the bench and ruling over We-The-

People. (According to the Grand Jury's counsel, Ms. Greenstein lawyered up and refused to testify to the Kenai Grand Jury.)

Immediately, We-The-People asked our Legislators to start impeachment proceedings against all five of you Alaska Supreme Court Justices, pointing to these undisputed statements by the 55 Delegates who wrote Alaska's Constitution:

***“The power of Grand Juries to inquire into the willful misconduct in office of public officers, and to find indictments in connection therewith, shall never be suspended.”*** (Alaska Constitutional Convention, December 15, 1955)

***“The Grand Jury in its investigative power as well as for the fact it is sitting there as a panel sometimes is the only recourse for a citizen to get justice, to get redress from abuse in lower courts... it is the only safeguard a citizen occasionally has when for any reason, and very often for political reasons, a case is not dealt with properly.”*** (Alaska Constitutional Convention - transcript page 1328)

***“The Grand Jury can be appealed to directly, which is an invaluable right to the citizen.”*** (Alaska Constitutional Convention - transcript page 1328.)

Within days you (the Alaska Supreme Court) flinched – and issued SCO 2000 to change the Grand Jury rule back to reinstate the right of Grand Juries to indict. In public, one of Alaska's most respected attorneys stated: *“The supreme court is acting like clowns in a clown car, jumping in and out.”*

And what did our brave Kenai Grand Jury do immediately after you were forced to allow them to indict? They indicted former Alaskan judge Margaret Murphy for lying to them under oath about her conduct as a sitting judge – conduct that Ms. Greenstein had “investigated” and exonerated.

(The “independent” prosecutor advising the Kenai Grand Jury stated they could not indict Ms. Greenstein because the statute of limitations had run on the evidence of her crimes. In other words, Ms. Greenstein was extremely smart in refusing to testify – because lying to the Grand Jury under oath would be a fresh crime that could be indicted – as happened to not-so-smart Judge Murphy.)

But you left the other unconstitutional suspensions in place.

Now, as your treachery oozes across the far reaches of Alaska and into every household, you are once again forced to reconsider your unconstitutional rule. But We-The-People no longer trust you.

Remember, this first passed by the razor-thin vote of 3 justices for and 2 against, with those against (Burke and Compton) stating this rule ***“MOCKS”*** Alaska's Constitution. We now demand Citizen and Legislative oversight of the fate of Rights meant to protect us from corrupt, powerful officials like yourself. And, in case you have forgotten, we outnumber you well over 100,000 to 1.

And, in case you have forgotten, it is only by our consent in our Constitution, which is the very charter of your existence, do we allow you to rule over us. We-The-People now consider withdrawing that consent.

And, in case you have forgotten, We-The-People treasure these Rights above life itself, proven by the countless souls who have died to create a Constitution forever enshrining these Rights.

What is your primary concern in your latest rewrite of Alaska's Grand Jury rule? Reinstating the rule that Grand Juries cannot indict. Are you thinking of Judge Murphy, who was immediately indicted after you were first forced to unchain the Grand Jury power to indict? Thinking about your own corruption, conspiracy, and cover up; and don't want to be indicted?

An exact quote by you "the Alaska Supreme Court", in your new "PROPOSED RULE CHANGES":

***"The grand jury cannot return an indictment related to the matter it is investigation: instead, it can issue a bill of proposed charges to the prosecuting attorney."*** [page 3]

(Evidence indicates that prosecuting attorneys, for decades, have been helping you keep corrupt judges from being indicted. And shouldn't the word "investigation" above be "investigating"?)

With your renewed decision that Alaska's revered Grand Juries cannot indict, you have passed the Rubicon. I believe all true Alaskans, once again, will join in our demand for your impeachment.

Why? Because the 55 Delegates who wrote Alaska's Constitution wrote this, without a single dissent:

***"The power of Grand Juries to inquire into the willful misconduct in office of public officers, and to find indictments in connection therewith, shall never be suspended."*** (Alaska Constitutional Convention, December 15, 1955)

"Can an Alaska court rule keep a grand jury from indicting?" Chat GPT answer: *"Alaska Legal Structure Summary: Under Article 1, Section of the 8 of the Alaska constitution: Grand juries have constitutional indictment authority. In Alaska, a state court rule cannot prevent a grand jury from indicting where the power is constitutionally granted. Because this authority is constitutional, neither the legislature nor the courts can adopt a rule that outright abolishes or nullifies the grand jury's indictment power."*

"Are there any states in the USA that prohibit grand juries from indicting?" Chat GPT answer: *"No. There are no U.S. states that prohibit grand juries from indicting."*

"Caselaw holding that grand juries cannot be kept from indicting." Chat GPT answer: *"U.S. law generally treats a grand jury's decision-making as independent and not subject to judicial second-guessing on the merits. The Supreme Court held that a federal grand jury indictment cannot be reviewed by a court on the ground of insufficient evidence because doing so would "run counter to the whole history of the grand jury institution." In other words, a judge cannot substitute their own view of the evidence for the grand jury's judgment. This underscores that courts generally do not decide whether the grand jury should have indicted – the grand jury's probable-cause decision stands on its own. Historically (e.g., Ex parte Bain), the Supreme Court emphasized that once a grand jury has indicted, courts must respect the indictment as coming entirely from the grand jury. Court and scholarly discussions frequently describe the grand jury's power to indict - or refuse to indict - as part of its independent function not subject to routine judicial oversight. Supreme Court doctrine clearly restricts judicial interference: federal courts do not review indictments for lack of evidence or impose*

*additional procedural requirements that would make indicting harder - **precisely because doing so would undermine the grand jury's constitutional role.***

***Preston v. State of Alaska*** (Alaska 1980) *The [Alaska Supreme] court rejected the idea that judges can reweigh evidence or substitute their judgment for that of the grand jury. Why it matters: this supports the principle that courts cannot block an indictment simply because they think the grand jury should not have indicted."*

Your "PROPOSED RULE CHANGES" have many other defects, all with one common theme: they place government officials in positions that can keep Grand Juries from independently investigating government corruption and, if they do somehow managed to do so, for government officials to stop any indictment, presentment, report, or recommendation from ever seeing the light of day.

Your "PROPOSED RULE CHANGES" are unacceptable. We-The-People **DEMAND** the following:

1. Alaska Criminal Rule 6.1 is abolished, entirely. Exactly as Alaska Supreme Court Justices Edmond Burke and Allen Compton demanded over three decades ago.
2. Alaska Criminal Rule 6 "***The Grand Jury***" is re-written as follows and as in the attached document titled "***How Alaska Criminal Rule 6 Shall Be Re-Written.***"
3. The "***Updated Alaska Grand Jury Handbook***" is now, and forever more, the attached, 5.5" x 8.5", slightly modified original version, now numbering 21 pages – which, before being slightly modified, was produced by Alaska's first Supreme Court, for Alaska's first Grand Jury. This handbook shall immediately be given, free of charge, to all prospective Jurors, both Petit (trial) and Grand, along with all citizens expressing an interest in appealing to the Grand Jury.
4. All prospective Jurors, both Petit (trial) and Grand, along with all citizens expressing an interest in appealing to the Grand Jury, shall be given a copy of the attached 6-page "***Alaska Judicial Corruption Timeline***"; so they may know of past Alaskan government conspiracies to unconstitutionally suspend Grand Jury and citizen Constitutional Rights.
5. All Alaska Grand Juries shall forever be impaneled with 18 regular members and 6 alternates, for a total of 24. (Why? see "***Timeline***") All Alaska state government documents shall forever capitalize the words "Grand Jury[ies]", "Grand Juror[s]", "Jury[ies]", and Juror[s]".
6. At the convening of each Grand Jury term (normally 3 months) in each Alaskan city, town, or village regularly convening Grand Juries, at least two (2) Grand Juries shall be convened. One (1) of these shall have the sole duty to hear in-person appeals **DIRECTLY** from citizens of Alaska, to investigate citizen concerns, and then to issue indictments, presentments, reports, and/or recommendations in connection therewith. The other Grand Jury[ies] shall conduct other activities that normally involve participation by law enforcement and government attorneys. Appellate/investigative Grand Juries have the power to order

the convening of additional appellate/investigative Grand Juries, if, in their opinion alone, this serves the interest of justice in timely hearing, investigating, and adjudicating citizen appeals.

7. Each Alaska citizen has an unqualified right to appeal in-person **DIRECTLY** to an appellate/investigative Grand Jury one time per calendar year. A Grand Jury hearing such appeals shall provide at least 10 minutes to each citizen to make their case. It is the Grand Jury's duty and responsibility, and no one else's, to determine which citizens wish to make such appeals in each location and to determine how best to proceed. It is suggested, but not mandatory, that Grand Juries (particularly in areas with numerous citizens wishing to appeal) hear as many cases per day until all citizens are heard, dismissing frivolous cases immediately and scheduling valid cases for a second, later, and more in-depth hearing that may include testimony from the citizen's witnesses and taking of evidence. If these appellate/investigative Grand Juries, after they have been convened, are not appealed to within a reasonable time (and have not decided on their own to investigate) it may, upon a majority vote, vote to disband itself. Any citizen then wishing to appeal in the location of this disbanded Grand Jury will thus be forced to wait until the next appellate/investigative Grand Jury in this area is convened (likely 3 months or less).
8. Grand Juries investigating suspected government corruption, conspiracy, and/or cover up (or private attorneys/citizens implicated in this) shall investigate in public, so hidden forces cannot derail them – as happened to the 2022/23 Kenai Grand Jury that investigated Marla Greenstein.
9. All Grand Jury reports and recommendations are immediately to be made public upon issuance by the Grand Jury, upon penalty of Tampering with Evidence, a class C felony.
10. Grand Juries alone, without any input from anyone else, decide what concerns the public welfare or safety, and thus alone decides what can be investigated. Public officials can be removed from office for willful or corrupt misconduct even if a statute of limitations has run on criminal conduct.
11. Appellate/investigative Grand Juries may, in its own discretion, conduct business in a location other than a courthouse; shall decide the date and times of its meetings; shall determine its own rules of proceeding; and may write, and issue, its own subpoenas.
12. Appellate/investigative Grand Juries may use any evidence, including hearsay, to investigate, indict, present, report, and/or recommend:

**Justia U.S. Supreme Court Center** (The Library of Congress)

- **Costello v. United States (1956)**: This is the landmark case on the subject. The Court held that a defendant can be required to stand trial even if the indictment was based **solely on hearsay evidence**. The Court reasoned that neither the Fifth Amendment nor any other constitutional provision prescribes the specific kind of evidence a grand jury must consider.

- **United States v. Calandra (1974):** The Court reaffirmed the broad investigative powers of the grand jury, noting it should remain "*unimpeded by the evidentiary and procedural restrictions applicable to a criminal trial.*" This case specifically held that the Fourth Amendment's exclusionary rule (barring illegally seized evidence) does not apply to grand jury proceedings.
  - **United States v. Williams (1992):** While primarily about exculpatory evidence, this case reinforced the independence of the grand jury from judicial oversight. The Court held that courts cannot dismiss an indictment simply because the prosecutor failed to present "*substantial exculpatory evidence,*" further limiting the ability of defendants to challenge the quality of evidence used to secure an indictment.
13. During the hearing of initial citizen appeals, no one may be present except the Grand Jury, the citizen appellant, and any witnesses or translators the citizen brings with and approves.
  14. During subsequent proceedings, the Grand Jury, in its discretion, may order state, city, and/or independent private attorneys and/or investigators to provide assistance. Private attorneys/investigators shall be paid by the court system at their published rate. If the Grand Jury determines the interest of justice requires this, attorneys and investigators may be procured from outside Alaska – particularly with concerns of systemic corruption or involving the Bar Association. In such case the court system shall also pay reasonable travel and lodging expenses.
  15. At least two volunteer Grand Jurors shall serve a second term on the next appellate/investigative Grand Jury in each area, to inform and teach the new Jurors of what was learned about the process by the preceding appellate/investigative Grand Jury.
  16. We-the-People humbly ask this Alaska Supreme Court to join us in petitioning Alaska's Legislature to thoroughly and publicly investigate this scandal; to develop Law forever preventing similar occurrences in the future; and to forever enshrine the above demands in Statute so future Alaska Supreme Courts are not tempted to again unconstitutionally suspend Grand Jury and Citizen Rights to investigate and address government corruption. This is indeed the only way you, our once esteemed Alaska Supreme Court, will ever regain the trust of We-The-People.
  17. Should Alaska's Legislature also fail to protect We-The-People, we shall resolutely continue building numbers of concerned citizens, and resources, until we can successfully pass Ballot Initiatives or Constitutional Amendments to protect ourselves from our corrupt government.
  18. One thing We-The-People have realized: violations of our Constitution don't matter, and go unchecked, unless we are awake and aware. We-The-People are now awake, aware, and picking up the big stick.
  19. We-The-People understand your current dilemma: either you keep up your bizarre efforts to unconstitutionally suspend citizen and Grand Jury rights to investigate, address, and expose Marla

Greenstein and other government corruption (and risk being impeached, disbarred, and possibly imprisoned) or you admit that even after direct evidence surfaced – decades ago - that she was corrupt, Marla Greenstein has curiously been allowed to continue to falsify Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct investigations to keep corrupt judges on the bench (and risk being impeached, disbarred, and possibly imprisoned). As this scandal unfolds it will be interesting to find out if any of you were the subject of a complaint. Because We-The-People know who exonerated you.

20. You are, quite clearly, ***“Between a Rock and a Hard Place.”*** (or maybe: *“Damned if you do and damned if you don’t?”*)

Most Sincerely,

David, Jackie, Kayla, and Cassie Haeg  
PO Box 123 Soldotna, AK 99669  
(907) 398-6403 cell/text  
[haeg@alaska.net](mailto:haeg@alaska.net)

PS: Most demands above were implemented by California’s Legislature after a similar attempt by judges to suspend citizen and Grand Jury rights there. See California Penal Codes 901; 914; 916; 919; 926; 933-936; 936.5; 939.1; 939.2; 939.4; 3062; 3073; and 3074. This document, and those attached, may be reproduced or quoted freely, along with excerpts (many documents/Chapters are located on the website: [alaskastateofcorruption.com](http://alaskastateofcorruption.com))

Citizens wishing to join this demand, please send ***“DEMAND”***; your ***name; city/town/area of residence*** (Alaskans only, please); and ***email address*** to: [haeg@alaska.net](mailto:haeg@alaska.net) or (907) 398-6403 (text)

Citizens agreeing that all five current Alaska Supreme Court Justices should be impeached, please send ***“IMPEACH”***; your ***name; city/town/area of residence*** (Alaskans only, please); and ***email address*** to: [haeg@alaska.net](mailto:haeg@alaska.net) or (907) 398-6403 (text)

Anyone wishing to receive updates on this effort, please send ***“UPDATE”***; your ***name***; and ***email address*** to: [haeg@alaska.net](mailto:haeg@alaska.net) or (907) 398-6403 (text)

Donate (100% tax-deductible) to educate the public on this constitutional right:

