

David S. Haeg  
P.O. Box 123  
Soldotna, AK 99669  
(907) 262-9249

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

AT KENAI, ALASKA

DAVID S. HAEG                    )  
                                  )  
                  Appellant,     )  
                                  )  
vs.                                 )  
                                  )  
BRENT R. COLE,                    )  
                                  )  
                  Appellee.       )  
                                  )  

---

                                  )

Appeal Case No.: 3KN-06-844 CI  
Ak Bar Assoc. Case #2006F007

**MOTION & AFFIDAVIT TO SUPPLEMENT RECORD**

COMES NOW Pro Se Appellant, DAVID HAEG, in the above referenced case and in accordance with *Appellate Rule 612(b)(4)*, and hereby files this motion to supplement the record. The ABA Panel in Haeg's case failed to include evidence Haeg presented to them which conclusively established that the State falsely claimed the evidence they found was in the area where Haeg was licensed to guide and where his lodge was - Unit 19C. The evidence the State found was conclusively proven to be in the unit where the Wolf Control Program was being conducted - Unit 19D. The significance of this is that the State claimed that since this evidence was in the same unit where Haeg guides he should be prosecuted as a big game guide violating big game guide laws and not that he had violated the Wolf Control Program permit which Haeg had in possession. The difference in these two violations is of almost incomprehensible size. Violations of the Wolf Control Program were specifically excluded from being any sort of fish and Game violation and thus could not affect Haeg's guiding license. In addition the Wolf Control Program violation

had a maximum of \$5000 fine and 5 days in jail. Haeg received 2 years in jail, \$19500 fine, forfeiture of \$100,000 in property and a loss of his guide license for 6 years which provides the only income for both he or his wife have. Haeg's judge in his case specifically stated the reason for Haeg's very severe sentence was because all the evidence was in the unit where Haeg hunts and where his lodge was. Because of these hard to believe facts Haeg respectfully asks this court to grant this motion to supplement the record with this evidence.

This motion is supported by the accompanying affidavit and email that has already been sent to all appropriate persons. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2007.

---

David S. Haeg, Pro Se Appellant

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of February 2007, a copy of the forgoing document by \_\_\_\_\_ mail, \_\_\_\_\_ fax, or \_\_\_\_\_ hand-delivered, to the following party:

Brent Cole  
745 W. 4<sup>th</sup> Ave., Suite 502  
Anchorage, AK 99501  
By: \_\_\_\_\_

**AFFIDAVIT OF APPELLANT**

I, DAVID HAEG, being first duly sworn deposes & states as follows:

1. I am the Pro Se Appellant in the above case & have personal knowledge of the statements made herein.

2. All factual assertions in Appellant's Motion for to Supplement the Record are true & correct to the best of my knowledge.

I, DAVID S. HAEG, certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true to the best of my knowledge.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

---

David S. Haeg, Pro Se Appellant

SUBSCRIBED & SWORN on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2007 in Browns Lake, Alaska. A notary public or other official empowered to administer oaths is unavailable & thus I am certifying this document in accordance with AS 09.63.020 which authorizes that a matter required or authorized to be supported or proven by the sworn statement, oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making it may be supported or proven by the person certifying in writing "under penalty of perjury" that the matter is true.